Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/645,376	PROBASCO, MICHAEL SCOTT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PAUL CALLAHAN	2437	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Paul Callahan</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Drew Leyes</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 July 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 4,7 and 14.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Wasilewski US 5,870,474, Cordery US 6,480,831.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Agreement was reached that the applied references fail to teach the claimed step of a request for a new key being sent based upon an unsuccessful comparison between a received key hash and a stored plurality of key hashes. The Examiner agreed to lift finality in the next Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims</u>			
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Paul Callahan/			
10-29-08			